

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/810,140	03/26/2004	Raymond Edward Clark	2003-0155.02	8849	
21972 LEXMARK IN	7590 07/26/2007 VTERNATIONAL, INC.	EXAM	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTU	IAL PROPERTY LAW DE	BRINICH, STEPHEN M			
740 WEST NE BLDG. 082-1	W CIRCLE ROAD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LEXINGTON,	KY 40550-0999	2625			
		·			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10810140	3/26/04	CLARK ET AL.	2003-0155.02

LEXMARK INTERNATIONAL, INC.
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPARTMENT
740 WEST NEW CIRCLE ROAD
BLDG. 082-1
LEXINGTON, KY 40550-0999

EXAMINER

Stephen M. Brinich

ART UNIT PAPER
2625 20070718

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner for Patents** 

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/810,140	CLARK ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Stephen M. Brinich	2625		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	L. lely filed the mailing date of this communication.		
Status					
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)⊟ <b>Applicati</b> 9)⊟	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) 22 and 23 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1,2,9 and 15-17 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 3-8,10-14 and 18-21 is/are objected to Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examined The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access	vn from consideration.  o. r election requirement. r.	Yamin or		
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Extended to be the Extended	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/26/04, 12/6/04</u> .	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te		

#### DETAILED ACTION

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 9, & 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kujirai (US 2001/0050781).

Re claims 1-2, 9, & 16-17, Kujirai discloses (paragraphs 0075-0076; Figure 16) a method for processing print jobs in a printer in which a display list with a plurality of objects (print jobs) is constructed. These objects are subjected to various processings, including a COMBINE process that replaces a pair of objects with a single combined object.

Re claims 2, 9, & 17, the single combined object is readable upon the (not further defined) "masked index image".

Re claims 15-16, Kujirai discloses (Figure 1) that the printer graphics engine operates under the control of a computer having instructions stored in an accessible memory for performing this process.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,140

Art Unit: 2625

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 22-23 are allowed.
- 4. Claims 3-8 10-14 18-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Re claims 3, 10, 13, 23, the art of record does not teach or suggest the recited indexed image in conjunction with the recited replacing of two objects on a display list with a new object.

Re claims 4 & 18, the art of record does not teach or suggest the recited determination of object opacity in conjunction with the recited replacing of two objects on a display list with a new object.

Re claims 5 & 18 (and dependent claim 6), the art of record does not teach or suggest the recited determination of object stencil configuration in conjunction with the recited replacing of two objects on a display list with a new object.

Re claims 7 & 18, the art of record does not teach or suggest the recited determination of object color intensity

Art Unit: 2625

similarity in conjunction with the recited replacing of two objects on a display list with a new object.

Re claims 8 & 18, the art of record does not teach or suggest the recited determination of object halftone screen equivalence in conjunction with the recited replacing of two objects on a display list with a new object.

Re claim 10, the art of record does not teach or suggest the recited construction of a look-up table corresponding to masked indexed image values in conjunction with the recited replacing of two objects on a display list with a new object.

Re claims 11 & 21 (and dependent claim 12), the art of record does not teach or suggest the recited uni-dimensional conversion of a masked indexed image in conjunction with the recited replacing of two objects on a display list with a new object.

Re claims 14 & 19, the art of record does not teach or suggest the recited head and tail pointer setting to objects to be replaced in conjunction with the recited replacing of two objects on a display list with a new object.

Re claims 19-20 & 22, the art of record does not teach or suggest the recited bounding box construction in conjunction with the recited replacing of two objects on a display list with a new object.

### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Nakagiri et al and Tohki disclose additional examples of print queue item combination.

7. Any inquiry concerning the contents of this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Brinich at 571-272-7430.

Any inquiry relating to the status of this application or proceeding or any inquiry of a general nature concerning application processing should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 Customer Service center at 571-272-2600 or to the USPTO Contact Center at 800-786-9199 or 571-272-1000.

The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays 8:00-5:30, alternate Fridays off.

The examiner's unit designation has been changed from "Art Unit 2624" to "Technology Division 2625" (as of March 20, 2006).

If attempts to contact the examiner and the Customer Service Center are unsuccessful, supervisor David Moore can be contacted at 571-272-7437.

Faxes pertaining to this application should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 official fax number, which is 571-273-8300 (as of July 15, 2005).

Application/Control Number: 10/810,140

Art Unit: 2625

0,140 Page 6

Hand-carried correspondence may be delivered to the

Customer Service Window, located at the Randolph Building, 401

Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

Stephen M Bris

Examiner

Technology Division 2625

smb

July 19, 2007